

**SAATE**

---

Lähettiläjä: Sihteeristö

Vastaanottaja: Valmistelukunta

---

Asia: Useiden valmistelukunnan jäsenten esitys:  
"Keep the IGC timetable from Nice and Laeken"

---

Valmistelukunnan jäsenet Sören LEKBERG, Göran LENNMARKER, Peter SKAARUP, Kimmo KILJUNEN, Matti VANHANEN, Gisela STUART, David HEATHCOAT-AMORY, Edmund WITTBRODT, Tunne KELAM, Vytenis ANDRIUKAITIS, Algirdas GRICIUS, Jelko KACIN, Jozef OLEKSY, Rihards PIKS, Liene LIEPINA ja Josef ZIELENIEC sekä

jäsenen sijaiset Kenneth KVIST, Ingvar SVENSSON, Per DALGAARD, Esko HELLE, Lord TOMLINSON, Pat CAREY, Genowefa GRABOWSKA, Ülo TÄRNO, Mihael BREJC, István SZENT-IVÁNYI, Guntars KRASTIS, Arturs Krisjanis KARINS ja Farnco HORVAT

ovat toimittaneet valmistelukunnan pääsihteerille liitteenä olevan esityksen.

Contribution to the European Convention

## **Keep the IGC timetable from Nice and Laeken**

Signatories

### **Members of the Convention, Representatives of National Parliaments**

Mr Sören LEKBERG  
Mr Göran LENNMARKER  
Mr Peter SKAARUP  
Mr Kimmo KILJUNEN  
Mr Matti VANHANEN  
Ms Gisela STUART  
Mr David HEATHCOAT-AMORY  
Mr Edmund WITTBRODT  
Mr Tunne KELAM  
Mr Vytenis ANDRIUKAITIS  
Mr Algirdas GRICIUS  
Mr Jelko KACIN  
Mr Jozef OLEKSY  
Mr Rihards PIKS  
Ms Liene LIEPINA  
Mr Josef ZIELENIEC

### **Alternate Members of the Convention, Representatives of National Parliaments**

Mr Kenneth KVIST  
Mr Ingvar SVENSSON  
Mr Per DALGAARD  
Mr Esko HELLE  
Lord TOMLINSON  
Mr Pat CAREY  
Ms Genowefa GRABOWSKA  
Mr Ülo TÄRNO  
Mr Mihael BREJC  
Mr István SZENT-IVÁNYI  
Mr Guntars KRASTS  
Mr Arturs Krisjanis KARINS  
Mr Farné HORVAT

## **Keep the IGC timetable from Nice and Laeken**

The New Member States have a right to fully participate in the debate on the Future of the Union, since they will be full members in the future Union. As Members of the European Convention representing National Parliaments, we share a particular responsibility for making sure that the Constitutional Treaty, and the process for adoption, respond to the wishes from the citizens to have a greater say in European Union affairs. The Laeken mandate makes it abundantly clear that one of the major concerns in Europe today is the need to reconnect the European project with its citizens. With this in mind, we have come to the following conclusions as regards the method and timetable for the finalisation of the Convention, and for the start of the Intergovernmental Conference (IGC).

1. Since Nice and Laeken, an extra element has been added, namely the date of 1 May, 2004, for the accession of the large group of New Member States. There will be referenda on the whether to join the EU in these states. Before their respective referendum, the New Members will not have reached their full negotiating potential in Brussels. The citizens in the New Member States need time after the referenda to debate the Convention outcome.
2. The timetable established at Nice, which foresees the IGC for 2004, makes sense. The outcome of the Convention will most likely be comprehensive, covering the whole range of EU co-operation. This outcome deserves to be debated all over Europe. Such a broad, public debate will only be possible if there is a gap of at least six months between the end of the Convention and the commencement of the IGC.
3. It is of utmost importance that our Parliaments are given real opportunities to pronounce themselves on the Convention outcome, thereby shaping the mandate for the ensuing IGC. The Convention having been initiated with much political fanfare, there must be ample time to discuss the outcome before the Heads of State and Government negotiate and lock themselves in different bargaining positions.
4. The Nice Declaration, as mentioned above, clearly states that the IGC will be convened in 2004. This was a unanimous decision by the Heads of State and Government. It is for those who now propose a different timetable to establish why a change is necessary.

---